



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,506	08/25/2003	Vijay Mital	MSFT-1949/301416.1	4218

41505 7590 01/15/2008
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891

EXAMINER

LIU, LIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2145

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/15/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/648,506

Applicant(s)

MITAL ET AL.

Examiner

LIN LIU

Art Unit

2145

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LIN LIU.

(3) Joseph F. Oriti.

(2) Jeffrey Swearingen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2003/0083917.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A telephonic interview was conducted with applicant's representative Joseph F. Oriti in discussing the proposed agenda submitted by applicant's representative. The examiner explained examiner's position in mapping the prior art Tracey (US 2003/0083917) with respect to the claims to clarify applicant's questions regarding the prior art. Applicant's representative stated that he would make appropriate argument towards the Office Action or amendments if necessary. In addition, the examiner has also agreed to withdraw the 112 rejection after applicant's representative clarified the term "consolidating" with respect to the Specification.



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
ATLANTA • PHILADELPHIA • SEATTLE

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE
Cira Centre, 12th Floor
2929 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891
215.568.3100
Fax: 215.568.3439

FACSIMILE

DATE: **December 18, 2007**

JOB CODE:

Please deliver this and the following pages to:

Name: **Examiner Lin Liu**
Company/Firm: **United States Patent and Trademark Office**
Telecopier No.: **571-270-2447**
Client/Matter No.: **Serial No. 10/648,506**
Attorney Docket No.: MSFT-1949/301416.01
Sender's Name: **Joseph F. Oriti**
Pages to Follow: **2**

If transmission is not complete, please call our Philadelphia Office at (215) 568-3100.

COVER MESSAGE:

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY OF THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
ATLANTA • PHILADELPHIA • SEATTLE

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE
Cira Centre, 12th Floor
2929 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891
215.568.3100
Fax: 215.568.3439

December 18, 2007

JOSEPH F. ORTI
215-564-6525
jorti@woodcock.com

United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Examiner Lin Liu

Re: Application Entitled: System And Method For Integrating Management Of
Components Of A Resource
Serial No.: 10/648,506
Our Reference No.: MSFT-1949/301416.01

Re: Request For Interview And Proposed Agenda

Dear Examiner Liu:

Per your voice mail request, I am faxing this request for a telephone interview to discuss the Office Action dated October 15, 2007. Also, per your request, a proposed agenda is provided.

PROPOSED INTERVIEW AGENDA

- With respect to the 35 USC 112 rejection, discuss the meaning of the term "consolidating".
- With respect to the 35 USC 102 rejection, discuss how Tracey *et al.* is being interpreted and asserted against the claims. For example, discuss what elements of Figures 1, 2, and 3 are being equated with claim limitations "a context entity", "a plurality of related service entities", and "a plurality of application services". And discuss how other cited portions of Tracey *et al.* are being interpreted.



December 18, 2007
Page 2

- With respect to the 35 USC 103 rejection, if time allows, discuss how *Brendle et al.* is being is being combined with *Tracey et al.*

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. F. Oriti', written over the printed name.

Joseph F. Oriti